Faculty Meeting Minutes  
April 6, 2000


I. Recruitment Update – None.
II. Minutes – Approved unanimously.
III. Unfinished Business – None.
IV. New Business – None.
V. Committee Reports:
   FPC: Horner reported that the votes have been received on the mail ballot concerning the Library’s guidelines for the Instructors Bill of Rights. The motion regarding committee membership and voting was passed. She pointed out that the wording of our Bylaws and the wording in this guidelines document is not consistent. There is a Task Force in place to review applications for Senior Instructor Special Leave.
   Faculty Support – No report.
   Faculty/Staff Development: Seaman will be giving a presentation on the Fitzsimmons Storage Facility on April 13\textsuperscript{th}. Carol Krismann will present a talk on her book, Encyclopedia of American Women in Business, which is scheduled for May 3\textsuperscript{rd}.
   LAB – No report.
   Promotion & Reappointment – No report.
   Tenure – J. Williams has sent the current guidelines to the Vice Chancellor’s office to have them reviewed for legal sufficiency. They have not been returned yet since they must also be reviewed by the Regents and the President. No changes are anticipated.
   Elections – Sani reported that Gloria de Alfaro has agreed to serve on the Awards Committee as a past winner. Sani feels this is a good precedent to incorporate into the procedures of the committee but it would be up to the committee to decide this.
   Awards – No report.
   Committee of Chairs – No report.
   OCI: Seaman reported that the OCI (Organizational Culture Inventory) assessment project is beginning today. All faculty and staff are being encouraged to participate.
   Faculty Handbook Task Force: Horner mentioned that the FPC had been asked to review and report back on the section appended to last month’s Faculty Minutes dealing with FPC procedures. There were no corrections. Ruth Leahy will be asked to inform Janet Hill about this.
   Appeals – No report.
   Other Library Committees/Task Forces – No reports.

VI. Administrative Reports:
   Off-Site storage: Seaman reported that groundbreaking at Fitzsimmons has begun. Occupancy will very likely begin in December. A facility manager should be recruited and hired through the Health Sciences Center personnel system before the facility opens. The exact sequence of the move from Louisville to Fitzsimmons is still being negotiated between the four institutions. It is very likely that our materials will start moving first.
   PASCAL (Preservation & Access Service Center for Colorado Academic Libraries): Anthes reported that the Policy Committee had its first meeting and they developed a list of things to look at. Their next meeting will be April 12\textsuperscript{th}. The first topic will be the issue of ownership which will be discussed in more detail at the next Bibliographers’ Meeting on April 13\textsuperscript{th}. Policy Committee members have been invited to attend Seaman’s presentation on Fitzsimmons on the 13\textsuperscript{th}.
   CCHE: J. Williams asked Seaman about the Deans’ Meeting he attended while Williams was out of town. Seaman reported that Regent Sievers asked for an independent audit of faculty service records (Boulder campus only) for the past 3 years. VC Phil De Stefano was unaware of the reason for the audit. Todd Gleason will be in charge of collecting and
delivering the data to the authorized consultants. De Stefano has promised to report back to the Deans once he knows the purpose of the audit.

Sani mentioned that the new head of the CCHE, Tim Foster, is of the opinion that “Service” is not our purview in the libraries. She conjectured that Sievers is perhaps trying to counteract Foster’s feelings (which may be shared by some of the more conservative legislators) by showing the kinds of service we provide and how beneficial it is.

Hamilton mentioned that the EPUS Committee has been discussing the impact of CCHE’s study on higher education. The “Big Daddy” questions, listing measurable criteria for evaluating institutions of higher education, will have a direct influence on the dollars going to each institution from the legislature. We should expect that there will be some form of service standards established as a result of this. J. Williams feels that CU has come out OK as far as providing information for the first part of this initiative.

Program Review: J. Williams stated that we are moving into the next stage of needing to prioritize our recommendations. The University has shown itself to be very supportive in the past so we need to be very strategic in what we ask for. Fortunately, the reviewers are very cooperative and there are no longer any constraints such as page limits, however, this must be done by May 15th.

BFA: J. Williams stated that the budget shortfall has not been singled out in the press as a library issue and that faculty have shown a willingness to work with us to make the hard decisions needed by next fall. Larsen mentioned that some faculty in the Sciences have agreed to make additional cuts to their previously-cut serials lists. She said many faculty did not agree with BFA’s recent statement about not wanting to cut anything since this was not a reasonable stance and could be misconstrued by the State as a knee-jerk reaction to some necessary measures. Sani reported that there was a ground swell of feeling at the last BFA meeting that the State should be more helpful since we do serve as a regional state library.

J. Williams said that, politically, it is not in our interest to be critical of these resolutions because they still do consider us, the library, to be an important institution on campus.

J. Williams spoke of his concern over what is happening in the commercial sector of the publishing business which is causing faculty groups to be pitted against each other. This issue will be brought up for discussion at the next Art & Science Council meeting on May 4th.

J. Williams also reported that the proposed construction of the new Science Library received a positive vote at the Chancellor’s Executive Committee meeting.

VII. Announcements:

Automation: John Culshaw will be informed that a special request for an Automation Report will be placed on the agenda for the next Faculty Meeting.

University Committees: Sani will be putting a motion before the BFA to propose a “pilot” for midterm evaluations of freshmen students. EPUS Committee discussion was referred to above by Hamilton.

VIII. Motion to adjourn was approved unanimously. The meeting was adjourned at 9:45 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Anna M. Ferris